

# Cal/Ecotox

## Exposure Factors for Red-legged Frog (*Rana aurora*)\*

Page 1

Endpoint Type	Endpoint Value	Error	Range	Units	Sex	Life Stage	Location	Note	Reference
Age at Fledging, Metamorphosis, Weaning	see citation				NR	Hatchling	OR	a	1
Age at Fledging, Metamorphosis, Weaning			3-5	mo	B	Tadpole	CA	b	2
Age at Sexual Maturity	2			yr	B	Adult	OR	c	3
Age at Sexual Maturity			2-4	yrs	B	Adult	CA	d	2
Body Weight - Mean	see citation				NR	Hatchling	OR	e	1
Body Weight - Mean	log W = -4.60 + 3.085(log L)			mg	NR	Tadpole	CANADA	f	4
Body Weight - Mean	6.46			g	NR	Tadpole	WA	g	5
Clutch or Litter Size	531	19 SE		#/egg mass	F	Adult	CANADA	h	4
Clutch or Litter Size	680		194 - 921	# ova/egg mass	F	Adult	CANADA	i	6
Clutch or Litter Size			541 - 1081	#/egg mass	F	Adult	OR	j	3
Clutch or Litter Size	600			#/ egg mass	F	Adult	WA	k	5
Dietary Composition	see citation				B	Both Adult and Juv.	CANADA	l	7
Dietary Composition	see citation				NR	NR	Los Angeles; San Bernardino; Santa Barbara; CA	m	8
Duration of Incubation or Gestation	35			d	B	Embryo	WA	n	5
Duration of Incubation or Gestation			6 - 7	wks	NR	Embryo	OR	o	3
Growth Rate	0.62			mm/d	NR	Tadpole	WA	p	5
Growth Rate	0.99			mm/d	NR	Tadpole	WA	q	5
Longevity			12 - 15	yrs	F	Adult	Lab	r	9
Metabolic Rate	0.59	0.10 SD	0.51 - 0.77	cm3 O2/egg/hr	NR	Embryo	Lab	s	10
Population Density	500			#/m2	NR	Tadpole	CANADA	t	4
Survival/ Mortality	68.6			%	NR	Adult	CANADA	u	6
Survival/ Mortality	18.0			%	NR	Both Adult and Juv.	CANADA	v	6
Survival/ Mortality			91 - 92	%	NR	Embryo; Juvenile	CANADA	w	6
Survival/ Mortality	5			%	NR	Tadpole	CANADA	x	4
Survival/ Mortality	5.3			%	NR	Tadpole	CANADA	y	6
Survival/ Mortality	<1			%	NR	Tadpole	CANADA	z	6
Time of Fledging or Metamorphosis	July - Sept.				B	Tadpole	CA	aa	2
Time of Fledging or Metamorphosis	July - Oct.				NR	Tadpole	CANADA	ab	4
Time of Fledging or Metamorphosis	June - July				NR	Tadpole	OR	ac	3
Time of Fledging or Metamorphosis	July				NR	Tadpole	WA	ad	5
Time of Hatching or Parturition	Apr.				B	Embryo	WA	ae	5
Time of Hatching or Parturition	May				NR	Embryo	CANADA	af	4
Time of Mating/ Laying	Feb. - Mar.				B	Adult	WA	ag	5
Time of Mating/ Laying	Feb. - Apr.				B	Adult	CANADA	ah	11
Time of Mating/ Laying	review				B	Adult		ai	12
Time of Mating/ Laying	Feb. - Apr.				F	Adult	CANADA	aj	4
Time of Mating/ Laying	Jan. - Feb.				F	Adult	OR	ak	3

**Notes**  
a figure of mean time to metamorphosis with and without bullfrog presence; N=100-150; Age=Gosner stage 25 larvae; January; field enclosures at E.E. Wilson Wildlife Refuge, Benton County

b	N=NR
c	N=NR; Corvallis; males are over 50 mm and females near 60 mm snout-vent length at this age
d	N=NR
e	figure of mean mass of larvae with and without bullfrog presence; N=100-150; Age=Gosner stage 25 larvae; January; field enclosures at E.E. Wilson Wildlife Refuge, Benton County
f	regression equation relating body weight (W; mg, dry wt.) to body length (L; mm); N=NR; Marion Lake, southern British Columbia (elev., 300m); see citation for figure of relationship
g	average maximum weight (75.9 mm total length); N=NR; Lake Louise Wildlife Sanctuary, Bellingham, Whatcom county (elev., 120m); see citation for figure of seasonal changes in tadpole body weight
h	N=35 egg masses; Marion Lake, southern British Columbia (elev., 300m)
i	N=NR; White Rock, British Columbia; see citation for figure of relationship between fecundity and snout-vent length
j	N=6 egg masses; Corvallis
k	N=NR; Lake Louise Wildlife Sanctuary, Bellingham, Whatcom county (elev., 120m)
l	frequency of occurrence and food item abundance of 53 items (family level and prey lifestage) in stomach contents; N=26-104/lifestage; May-Oct.; White Rock, British Columbia [lat., 49°o02'N; long., 122°o39'W]
m	occurrence of 48 items (species) in digestive tracts; N=31 frogs; Canada de la Gaviota and collections at California State Polytechnic University
n	time from egg to hatching, water temperature ranged from 4.5 - 7.8C; N=35 egg masses; Lake Louise Wildlife Sanctuary, Bellingham, Whatcom county (elev., 120m)
o	time from egg to hatching under field conditions; N=NR; Corvallis
p	average growth rate (total length) from stages 23-30; N=NR; Lake Louise Wildlife Sanctuary, Bellingham, Whatcom county (elev., 120m)
q	average growth rate (total length) from stages 30-39; N=NR; Lake Louise Wildlife Sanctuary, Bellingham, Whatcom county (elev., 120m)
r	N=2
s	oxygen consumption at 18.5C; N=6 replicates with 30 embryos each; Age=stage 12 - 15
t	maximum reported density; N=NR; Marion Lake, southern British Columbia (elev., 300m)
u	annual minimal survival; N=67; White Rock, British Columbia
v	annual minimal survival; N=256; White Rock, British Columbia
w	embryo survival, estimated by counting # dead embryos in 100 eggs/egg mass; N=22 egg masses; Age=stage 13; White Rock, British Columbia
x	survival of larval population until metamorphosis; N=NR; Apr. - Aug.; Marion Lake, southern British Columbia (elev., 300m); see citation for seasonal changes in tadpole population size and effect of predation and density on survival in enclosures
y	survival from tadpole to young-of-the-year frogs; N=NR; White Rock, British Columbia; see citation for survival curves from egg through 1 yr postmetamorphosis
z	survival from hatching to metamorphosis; N=9,901-12,512 hatching embryos; White Rock, British Columbia; see citation for seasonal changes in tadpole population size
aa	N=NR
ab	N=NR; Marion Lake, southern British Columbia (elev., 300m); estimated by appearance of forelimbs
ac	N=NR; Corvallis
ad	N=NR; Lake Louise Wildlife Sanctuary, Bellingham, Whatcom county (elev., 120m)
ae	N=NR; Lake Louise Wildlife Sanctuary, Bellingham, Whatcom county (elev., 120m)
af	N=observations over 3 yrs; Marion Lake, southern British Columbia (elev., 300m)
ag	determined by presence of calling males and new egg masses; N=NR; Lake Louise Wildlife Sanctuary, Bellingham, Whatcom county (elev., 120m)
ah	time of breeding and laying; N=NR; White Rock, British Columbia
ai	N=NR
aj	time of first observance of egg masses; N=observations over 3 yrs; Marion Lake, southern British Columbia (elev., 300m)
ak	time of egg laying; N=NR; Corvallis

References

1 Kiesecker, Joseph M. and Andrew R. Blaustein. 1998. Effects of introduced bullfrogs and smallmouth bass on microhabitat use, growth, and survival of native red-legged frogs (*Rana aurora*). *Conserv. Biol.* 12:776-787.

2 Cook, David. 1997. Biology of the California red-legged frog: A synopsis. *Trans. West. Sect. Wildl. Soc.* 33:79-82.

3 Storm, Robert M. 1960. Notes on the breeding biology of the red-legged frog (*Rana aurora aurora*). *Herpetologica.* 16(4):251-259.

4 Calef, George Waller. 1973. Natural mortality of tadpoles in a population of *Rana aurora*. *Ecology.* 54(4):741-758.

5 Brown, Herbert A. 1975. Reproduction and development of the red-legged frog, *Rana aurora*, in northwestern Washington. *Northwest Sci.* 49(4):241-252.

6 Licht, Lawrence E. 1974. Survival of embryos, tadpoles, and adults of the frogs *Rana aurora aurora* and *Rana pretiosa pretiosa* sympatric in southwestern British Columbia. *Can. J. Zool.* 52(5):613-627.

7 Licht, Lawrence E. 1986. Food and feeding behavior of sympatric red-legged frogs, *Rana aurora*, and spotted frogs, *Rana pretiosa* in southwestern British Columbia. *Can. Field Nat.* 100(1):22-31.

8 Hayes, Marc P. and Michele R. Tennant. 1985. Diet and feeding behavior of the California red-legged frog, *Rana aurora draytonii*. *Southwest. Nat.* 30(4):601-605.

9 Cowan, Ian McTaggart. 1941. Longevity of the red-legged frog. *Copeia.* 1941(1):48.

10 Licht, Lawrence E. 1970. Breeding habits and embryonic thermal requirements of the frogs, *Rana aurora aurora* and *Rana pretiosa pretiosa* in the pacific northwest. *Ecology.* 52(1):116-124.

- 11 Licht, Lawrence E. 1969. Comparative breeding behavior of the red-legged frog (*Rana aurora aurora*) and the western spotted frog (*Rana pretiosa pretiosa*) in southwestern British Columbia. *Can. J. Zool.* 46(6):1287-1299.
- 12 Altig, Ronald and Philip C. Dumas. 1972. *Rana aurora* (Baird and Girard), Red-legged frog. *Cat. Am. Amphib. Reptil.* 160:1-4.

\*Cal/EPA, OEHHA and the University of California Regents are not responsible for damages of any kind resulting from the use of or reliance on information in this report. Users are encouraged to consult the original data. Updated: February 1999.